

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,362	11/29/2001	David Elie-Dit-Cosaque	135886	5532
24587	7590 12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
ALCATEL U	<del>-</del>		WONG, BLANCHE	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 3400 W. PLANO PARKWAY, MS LEGL2 PLANO, TX 75075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2002	2667	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-00
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- V
Office Autieur Commence	09/998,362	ELIE-DIT-COSAQUE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Blanche Wong	2667	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communicat (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 O	October 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,11,12 and 20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 3-10 and 13-19 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is of	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/998,362

Art Unit: 2667

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,2,11,12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doverspike et al. (Pub No. US2002/0097671 A1).

With regard to claim 1 and 11, Doverspike disclose a method of dynamically (para. [0015]) allocating protection path (restorable connection, para. [0015]; restoration path, para. [0019]) in a WDM network (optical networking, para. [0012]; WDM, para. [0013]; see also Fig. 1) including a plurality of nodes 110-190 (nodes, para. [0012]) coupled by communication links (links, para. [0012]), and router circuitry (OXC, para. [0013]);

information regarding the status (failure, para. [0016] and [0017]) of the network 100 (mesh network, para. [0012]) including information associating specific channels (channels) in each link (optical links) of the node to one or more protection paths (restoration path) (optical links are a collection of channels that route over the same fiber span, para. [0013]) (the restoration path and the service connection path should not belong to a group of links, para. [0015]) (it would have been obvious that there is an association between channels and links), information associating channels (channels) in each link (optical links) to respective working paths (service connection path), and

information on the availability (availability, para. [0019]) of specific channels to be used for a protection path (to share restoration channels, para. [0017]);

Page 3

in response to receiving a request (requests ... include restoration options ..., para. [0018]; see also para. [0022]) for a new protection path (restoration path, para. [0019]) to protect a defined working path (service path, para. [0019]) in one of said nodes;

said one node to identify (the process of computation, para. [0019]) links that have at least one shareable channel which may be shared (restoration resources may be shared between different restoration paths, para. [0019]) between the new protection path and one or more existing protection paths;

said one node to identify links that do not have a shareable channel but do have an used channel (the remaining channels are unassigned and free, para. [0020]) that may be used for said new protection path;

assigning costs (cost metric, para. [0019]) to identified links; and determining ("minimum weight" path, para. [0019]) a protection path using said identified links base on said costs.

However, Doverspike fails to explicitly show a database in each node, as recited in claim 1, nor database within router circuitry, as recited in claim 11.

In an analogous art, Mukherjee discloses database (the data structures and code ... are typically stored on a computer readable storage medium, which may be any

device or medium that can store code and/or data for use by a computer system, col. 3, In. 38-41).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to include a storage like database within router circuitry. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to guarantee a stated failurerecovery time in a WDM mesh network. Mukherjee, col. 2, In. 21-22. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Mukherjee with Doverspike for the benefit of guaranteeing a stated failure-recovery time in a WDM mesh network, to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1 and 11.

With regard to claims 2 and 12, Doverspike also discloses assigning weighted costs ("minimum weight" path, para. [0019]) to said identified links, where links that have at least one shareable channel are weighted differently (it would have been obvious that the channels have different weights) than links that do not have a shareable channel.

With regard to claim 20, Doverspike further discloses a switching matrix (OXCs with electrical fabrics, para. [0012]).

Application/Control Number: 09/998,362

Art Unit: 2667

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-10,13-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blanche Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830am to 530pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H. Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RN

BW

December 20, 2005

CHI PHAM

12/23/85

Page 5